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## Outline

Intermodal network planning and operations - what has changed?

- Information!!!

Three examples:

- Reducing variability of bus arrival times when operating in shared ROW
- Connected Vehicle applications
- Modelling travel behaviour


## Bus operations in shared ROW
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## Schedule adherence

- Function of:
- Intersection delays
- Dwell times
- Weather
- Incidents
- Driver characteristics
- Traffic conditions



## Impact of Signalized Intersections

- Bus Scheduled/On-Call Stop
- Unscheduled Stop
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## Transit Priority Measures

## Impact:

- Mean delay
- Variance of delay



## Where to implement?

- However, where should these treatments be applied?
- Require reliable data to quantify delays.
- Current methods:

1. field observation;
2. simulation;
3. analytical methods.

## Use of AVL/APC data
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## Theoretical foundation

- Deterministic under-saturated queuing
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## Proposed Methodology



## Proposed Methodology
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## GIS Data

- Transit routes
- Signalized intersections
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## Segmenting
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| Route | Direction | Intersection | Mean delay (seconds) | Std <br> (s) | COV | 90 \% delay (seconds) | Queue Length (metres) | Proportion of trips with identified sional delay | Sample size | Total number of service trips | Segment length (metres) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | up | HOMER WATSON @ Manitou Doon Village | 34 | 25 | 0.7 | 69 | 91 | 81\% | 201 | 217 | 467 |
| 10 | down | FAIRWAY@ Wilson | 32 | 28 | 0.9 | 65 | 120 | 71\% | 258 | 214 | 165 |
| 13 | WB | FISCHERHALLMAN @ Columbia | 26 | 19 | 0.7 | 51 | 273 | 76\% | 250 | 230 | 721 |
| 201 | up | ERB @ FischerHallman | 22 | 22 | 1 | 55 | 75 | 65\% | 231 | 314 | 534 |
| 201 | dn | FISCHERHALLMAN @ Queens | 18 | 20 | 1.1 | 48 | 120 | 55\% | 213 | 319 | 184 |
| 53 | OB | DUNDAS @ Main | 18 | 21 | 1.2 | 52 | 90 | 55\% | 131 | 225 | 374 |
| 1 | ob | QUEEN @ Charles | 15 | 18 | 1.2 | 40 | 91 | 44\% | 180 | 330 | 106 |
| 200 | dn | HESPELER @ Dunbar | 14 | 21 | 1.5 | 49 | 91 | 46\% | 363 | 658 | 684 |
| 11 | IB | KING @ Stirling | 14 | 22 | 1.6 | 51 | 88 | 29\% | 84 | 252 | 123 |
| 11 | OB | OTTAWA @ Alpine | 11 | 15 | 1.4 | 36 | 60 | 54\% | 182 | 248 | 196 |
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## Prioritized on the basis of Index



UNIVERSITY OF

## What type of priority treatment?

- Examine characteristics of intersection
- E.g. turning movement being made by transit vehicle
- Geometry
- Estimates queue length
- Signal timings
- Location of upstream and downstream bus stops
- Options:
- Queue jump lane
- Special transit phase
- TSP


## Estimating impact of TSP



## Connected Vehicles

- US DOT CV program
- Integrated Dynamic Transit Operations (IDTO)
- Enable travellers to "reserve" a connection
- In real-time system can evaluate number of reservation from passengers on the inbound transit unit and decide if outbound TU should wait.
- Wide range of possible approaches but much work to still do!


## Thank you!

## Q\&A

